

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,429	10/14/2005		Michael John Damzen	4586-4005	7521
27123	7590	08/08/2006		EXAMINER	
		IEGAN, L.L.P.	HUGHES, DEANDRA M		
3 WORLD NEW YOR		AL CENTER 0281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3663	, <u></u>
				DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006	í

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/519,429	DAMZEN, MICHAEL JOHN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Deandra M. Hughes	3663					
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum si - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y will, by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 07 February 2006						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	,—						
closed in accordance with the pract	-	-					
Disposition of Claims		,					
· _	oppliestion	•					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirement						
o) are subject to restrict	stion and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 December	<u>r 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)l	objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in	Application No					
	of the priority documents have bee						
application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certified copies no	ot received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I 	PTO-948) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/04. 	r PTO/SB/08) 5)	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
. oper mo(s)mian Date 102004.		·					

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on 12/22/04 has been considered by the examiner and is found to be cumulative to the art of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 9, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Honea (US 2002/0118718 published Aug. 29, 2002).

With regard to claim 1, Honea discloses a optically amplifying device (fig. 3) comprising a slab of material which is side-pumped (#40 and #48) in use to provide a gain region (#46) the device (paragraph [0023]), comprising:

- path definition means for defining a path through the gain region (#42, #50, #44, #52) for optical radiation to be amplified;
- wherein the path definition means is arranged such that said path
 comprises at least two, spatially different, incidence reflections in the gain
 region (paragraph [0019]).

With regard to claim 9, the path definition means comprises at least one surface of the slab material (e.g. note interface between #44 and #46).

Application/Control Number: 10/519,429 Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

With regard to claim 11, the gain extraction would inherently be of the same magnitude because the incident signal paths are merely 180 degrees out of phase.

Claim 12 is merely the normal method of operations of the apparatus as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honea (US 2002/0118718 published Aug. 29, 2002) in view of Alcock (US 5,315,612 published May 24, 1994).

Hornea does not specifically disclose that the grazing incident angle reflections are not more than 10 degrees. However, Alcock teaches grazing incident angle reflections of not more than 10 degrees (col. 2, line 9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art (e.g. an optical engineer) at the time the invention was made to reflect the pump at grazing angles less than 10 degrees for the advantage of achieving high gain (col. 4, line 4).

6. Claims 4-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honea (US 2002/0118718 published Aug. 29, 2002) in view of Zhang (US 2002/0105997 published Aug. 8, 2002).

With regard to claims 4-6, Honea does not specifically disclose that the gain region has more than one gain area. However, Zhang teaches size pumping of a

multiple spatially different gain areas (fig. 5B, #17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art (e.g. an optical engineer) at the time the invention was made to use multiple gain areas for the advantage of independent gain control.

With regard to claims 7-8 and 10, Honea's fig. 3 does not specifically disclose a mirror to feedback the signal thereby enabling it to lase. However, Zhang teaches a mirror as a feedback mechanism enabling lasing (paragraph [0068]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art (e.g. an optical engineer) at the time the invention was made to use a mirror as a feedback mechanism for the advantage of optical signal generation.

Claim Objections

7. Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Altmann, Byren, Carrig, Dube, Gong, Guch, Kiriyama, Knights, Marshall, Page, Plaessmann, Rapoport; Rothenberg; Scaggs, Vetrovec, and Zapata disclose optical gain slab media pumped at grazing angles.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deandra M. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-6982. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,429

Art Unit: 3663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Deandra M Hughes
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3663

Page 5